References:

- Zilberberg MD, de Wit M, Shorr AF.
 Accuracy of previous estimates for adult prolonged acute mechanical ventilation volume in 2020: Update using 2000–2008 data. Crit Care Med. 2012 Jan;40(1):18-20.
- Colombo D, et al. Efficacy of ventilator waveforms observation in detecting patient-ventilator asynchrony. Crit Care Med. 2011 Nov;39(11):2452-7.
- Dres M et al, Coexistence and Impact of Limb Muscle and Diaphragm Weakness at Time of Liberation from Mechanical Ventilation in Medical Intensive Care Unit Patients. Am J Respir Crit Care 2017 Med 195:57-66.
- Blanch L, et al. Asynchronies during mechanical ventilation are associated with mortality. Intensive Care Med. 2015 Apr;41(4):633-41.
- ATS/ERS Statement on Respiratory Muscle Testing. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 2002166(4), pp. 518-624
- 6. Ducharme-Crevier L, et al. Interest of Monitoring Diaphragmatic Electrical Activity in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit. Crit Care Res Pract. 2013;2013:384210.
- Emeriaud G, et al. Evolution of inspiratory diaphragm activity in children over the course of the PICU stay. Intensive Care Med. 2014 Nov;40(11):1718-26.
- 8. Piquilloud L, et al. Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist improves patient-ventilator interaction. Intensive Care Med. 2011 Feb;37(2):263-71.
- Yonis H, et al. Patient-ventilator synchrony in Neurally Adjusted Ventilatory Assist (NAVA) and Pressure Support Ventilation (PSV). BMC Anesthesiol. 2015 Aug 8;15:117.
- Cecchini J, et al. Increased diaphragmatic contribution to inspiratory effort during neurally adjusted ventilatory assistance versus pressure support: an electromyographic study. Anesthesiology. 2014 Nov;121(5):1028-36.
- Di Mussi R, et al. Impact of prolonged assisted ventilation on diaphragmatic efficiency: NAVA versus PSV. Crit Care. 2016 Jan 5:20(1):1.

- Barwing J, et al. Electrical activity of the diaphragm (EAdi) as a monitoring parameter in difficult weaning from respirator: a pilot study. Crit Care. 2013 Aug 28;17(4):R182.
- Bellani G, Pesenti A. Assessing effort and work of breathing. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2014 Jun;20(3):352-8.
- Bellani G, et al. Clinical assessment of autopositive end-expiratory pressure by diaphragmatic electrical activity during pressure support and neurally adjusted ventilatory assist. Anesthesiology. 2014 Sep;121(3):563-71.
- Dres M, Goligher EC, Heunks LMA, Brochard LJ. Critical illness-associated diaphragm weakness. Intensive Care Med. 2017 Oct;43(10):1441-1452.
- Goligher EC, Hodgson CL, Adhikari NKJ, et al. Lung recruitment maneuvers for adult patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017;14:S304-11.
- Sinderby C, et al. Neural control of mechanical ventilation in respiratory failure. Nat Med. 1999 Dec;5(12):1433-6.
- Jonkmann A, et al. Proportional modes of ventilation: technology to assist physiology Intensive Care Med. 2020 Aug 11;1-13.
- Kacmarek R, et al. Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist in acute respiratory failure: a randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 2020. Sep 6:1–11.
- Liu L, et al. Neurally Adjusted Ventilatory Assist versus Pressure Support Ventilation in Difficult Weaning. A Randomized Trial. Anesthesiology. 2020 Jun;132(6):1482-1493.
- 21. Hadfield D, et al Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist versus pressure support ventilation: a randomized controlled feasibility trial performed in patients at risk of prolonged mechanical ventilation Critical Care 2020 May 14;24(1):220.
- Patroniti N, et al. Respiratory pattern during neurally adjusted ventilatory assist in acute respiratory failure patients. Intensive Care Med. 2012 Feb;38(2):230-9.
- 23. De la Oliva P, et al. Asynchrony, neural drive, ventilatory variability and COMFORT: NAVA versus pressure support in pediatric patients. Intensive Care Med. 2012 May;38(5):838-46.

- Piastra M, et al. Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist vs pressure support ventilation in infants recovering from severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: nested study. J Crit Care. 2014 Apr;29(2):312.e1-5.
- 25. Kallio M, et al. Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist (NAVA) in pediatric intensive care a randomized controlled trial. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2015 Jan;50(1):55-62.
- Delisle S, et al. Sleep quality in mechanically ventilated patients: comparison between NAVA and PSV modes. Ann Intensive Care. 2011 Sep 28;1(1):42.
- Delisle S, et al. Effect of ventilatory variability on occurrence of central apneas. Respir Care. 2013 May;58(5):745-53.
- Doorduin J, et al. Automated patient-ventilator interaction analysis during neurally adjusted noninvasive ventilation and pressure support ventilation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit Care. 2014 Oct 13;18(5):550.
- 29. Kuo NY, et al. A randomized clinical trial of neurally adjusted ventilatory assist versus conventional weaning mode in patients with COPD and prolonged mechanical ventilation. International Journal of COPD. 2016 11;11:945-51.
- 30. Sun Q, et al. Effects of neurally adjusted ventilatory assist on air distribution and dead space in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit Care 2017 2;21(1):126.
- Karagiannidis C, et al. Control of respiratory drive by extracorporeal CO 2 removal in acute exacerbation of COPD breathing on non-invasive NAVA. Crit Care 2019 Apr 23;23(1):135.
- Prasad KT, et al. Comparing Noninvasive Ventilation Delivered Using Neurally-Adjusted Ventilatory Assist or Pressure Support in Acute Respiratory Failure. Resp Care 2020 Sep 1;respcare.07952.





How to get started using NAVA on the Servo-u mechanical ventilator.

For more training material examples or eLearnings, visit www.getinge.com

GETINGE 🗱

This information is aimed exclusively at healthcare professionals or other professional audiences and are for informational purposes only, is not exhaustive and therefore should not be relied upon as a replacement of the Instructions for Use, service manual or medical advice. Getinge shall bear no responsibility or liability for any action or omission of any party based upon this material, and reliance is solely at the user's risk.

Any therapy, solution or product mentioned might not be available or allowed in your country. Information may not be copied or used, in whole or in part, without written permission by Getinge.

Views, opinions, and assertions expressed are strictly those of the interviewed and do not necessarily reflect or represent the views of Getinge. NAVA may be pending regulatory approvals to be marketed in your country. Contact your Getinge representative for more information.

Manufacturer · Maquet Critical Care AB · Röntgenvägen 2 SE-171 54 Solna · Sweden · +46 (0)10 335 73 00



NAVA, NIV NAVA and Edi monitoring

Personalized ventilation



Achieve faster personalized weaning with lungand diaphragm-protective ventilation

5.5%

annual growth of patients undergoing prolonged weaning¹

only

21_% a

of clinicians detect asynchrony in form of missed inspiratory efforts² 63%

of patients had diaphragm dysfunction at their first SBT, after at least 24 hours of MV³ **67**%

ICU mortality reported for patients with Asynchrony Index >10%⁴



Monitor Edi – the vital sign of respiration, from day zero

In addition to monitoring the impact of mechanical ventilation on lung function, it is also imperative to

monitor patient respiratory drive and effort from day zero. Edi monitoring facilitates earlier and more informed decision-making. With this vital sign continuously visible, you can detect diaphragm inactivity, over-sedation, patient-ventilator asynchrony as well as over- and under-assist. You can also monitor increased work-of-breathing during weaning trials and post-extubation^{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14}.



Diaphragm-protective ventilation

Suboptimal mechanical ventilation can rapidly cause acute diaphragm atrophy or load-induced injury

associated with poor clinical outcomes^{15, 16}. The key physiological benefits of NAVA are that pressure is always delivered in proportion to and in synchrony with the patient's own respiratory drive, and that Edi is readily available as a bedside diagnostic tool^{17, 18}. NAVA shortens the time of weaning and mechanical ventilation¹⁹ and increases the number of ventilator-free days^{19, 20, 21}.



Lung-protective ventilation

A key difference between NAVA and conventional support modes is that tidal volume (VT) is controlled via neuro-electrical output from

the patient's respiratory center. Lung over-distension is thus prevented thanks to the Hering-Breuer reflex, which down-regulates the respiratory drive at higher tidal volumes to avoid hyperinflation. As a result, it is possible to achieve lung-protective spontaneous breathing within the protective range of 6–8 ml/kg^{17, 18, 22} PBW.



Improved patient experience

NAVA has been shown to improve the patient's overall ICU experience, helping clinicians to potentially reduce sedation with improved

comfort and sleep quality^{23, 24, 25, 26, 27}. Together, Edi and NAVA assure that the breathing efforts from all patient categories are effectively assessed and responded to. For patients with acute exacerbation of COPD, the non-invasive, leakage-independent NIV NAVA mode can be effective in managing their status and avoiding intubation^{13, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32}.



Edi monitoring - Pre-intubation

Edi catheter placement allows early respiratory drive monitoring in Standby, and helps predict need for NIV or intubation. Edi monitoring is also available in all non-invasive and invasive ventilation modes.



NAVA – Invasive ventilation

NAVA ventilation is controlled by the patient via the Edi signal in real-time, and delivers assist in proportion to and in synchrony with the patient's own breathing efforts.



NIV NAVA - Non-invasive option

NIV NAVA relies on the electrical activity of the diaphragm for triggering and termination of breaths which makes it independent of leakage for all types of patient interfaces.



Edi monitoring - Post-extubation

In High Flow oxygen therapy the Edi waveform, numerical values for Edi_{peak} and Edi_{min} are available and trended in order to be able to precisely monitor respiratory drive and effort.